UJMR, Volume 4 Number 2, December, 2019, pp 83 - 87 https://doi.org/10.47430/ujmr.1942.014

ISSN: 2616 - 0668



Received: 27/11/2019

Accepted: 19/12/2019



Drug Susceptibility Pattern of Bacterial Pathogens associated with Diabetic Foot Ulcers of Patients in Kano North -Western Nigeria

Sani, S.B.<sup>1</sup>, Kabiru Musa<sup>2</sup>, Bashar Usman Muhammad<sup>3</sup>, Surayya Lawan Idris<sup>4</sup> Department of Biology, Kano University of Science and Technology Wudil, P.M.B. 3244 Kano State, Nigeria<sup>1</sup>.

Medical laboratory scientist, General Hospital Gwarzo, P.M.B. 3041 Kano State, Nigeria<sup>2</sup>. Medical laboratory scientist, Corporate Head Office, Nigerian Ports Authority, 26/28 Marina, P.M.B. 12588 Lagos State, Nigeria<sup>3</sup>

Department of Biology, Yusuf Maitama Sule University, P.M.B. 3099 Kano State.Nigeria<sup>4</sup> \*Corresponding author: sakinasanibuhari@yahoo.com

#### Abstract

Diabetic foot ulcer has become the major cause of amputation in people with diabetes. Infected foot ulcers are a cause of morbidity and hospitalization in diabetic patients. The aim of the current study was to isolate and ascertain antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of bacterial pathogens associated with diabetic foot infection in Kano North - West, Nigeria. Samples were collected from 100 patients with diabetic foot ulcers using sterile cotton swab and transported to the laboratory for analysis. The male: female ratio was 3:1. Mono bacterial nature of diabetic foot infection was observed in 68% of the samples while mixed bacterial infection was observed in 21% of the samples. The study showed that Gram negative bacteria (84%) was the most frequently isolated pathogen and E. coli was found to be predominant (27%) followed by Klebsiella species (21%) and Proteus species (19%). Among the Gram positive bacteria, S. aureus (16%) was the predominant pathogen. The result also showed that most of the isolated bacteria were sensitive to Ciprofloxacin, Ofloxacin, Nitrofurantoin and Gentamicin. However, they showed resistance to Augumentin, Cefixime, Ceptaxidime and Cefuroxime. Further studies needs to be carried out to explore anaerobic pathogens related to diabetic wound and to ascertain the causes of resistance to antibiotics for proper management of diabetic foot ulcer in the study area.

Key Words: Antibiotics, Bacterial pathogens, Diabetic foot ulcer, Susceptibilities

# INTRODUCTION

Diabetes is a chronic metabolic condition that occurs when the body cannot produce or effectively use insulin which may be due to hereditary or environmental factors. Globally, around 370million people have diabetes and this number is increasing in every country (Bakker et al., 2012). As the incidence of diabetes mellitus is increasing dramatically, so are the risks of developing diabetic complications such as heart diseases, kidney failure, sexual dysfunction neuropathy, retinopathy and foot ulceration (Mukhtar et al., 2016).

Diabetic foot complications such as neuropathy, peripheral arterial disease are the most common complications among diabetic patients which when worsened results in foot ulceration that may lead to the amputation of the foot. The life time risk of diabetic patient developing foot ulcers was reported to be 15-25% (Jassim, 2014). Other risk factors of developing foot ulcers include a previous history of foot ulceration, poor glycemic control, poor education and poor access to health care services.

In Nigeria, an estimated 10% people with diabetes suffer foot complications, and the incidence is in the rise. Presently, Diabetic foot ulcer (DFU) account for the majority of non-traumatic amputations performed in almost all Nigerian tertiary hospitals (Mukhtar *et al.*, 2016). Basher and co-workers (2015) reported that the overall commonest cause of amputation in Kano State Northern-Nigeria was diabetic foot complications.

Infected foot ulcers are a cause of morbidity and hospitalization in diabetic patients, ultimatimately leading dreaded to complications gangrene and such as amputation(Jassim, 2014). Lipsky et al. (2004) defined diabetic foot infection as anv inframalleolar infection in patients with diabetes mellitus.

These include paronchia cellulitis, myositis, abscesses, necrotizing fasciitis and osteomyelitis. Once the protective layer of skin is breached, underlying tissues are exposed to bacterial colonization.

Many studies have reported on the bacteriology of diabetic foot infection. Iyanar *et al.*, (2014)stated that the bacteriology of Diabetic foot infection (DFI) is highly complicated. It involves both aerobes and anaerobes and mixed infection. The antibiotic susceptibility pattern also shows lot of variations among different geographical places and also with various periods of time. The multidrug resistant bacteria have been reported in diabetic foot infection (Mohanasoundaram, 2012). Hence it is important to investigate different bacteria involved in Diabetic foot ulcer and also to know about its antibiotic sensitivity pattern in the study area.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was carried out at Murtala Muhammad Specialist Hospital (MMSH) and Aminu Kano Teaching Hospital (AKTH) during the period of April to December, 2018. They are the largest tertiary hospitals in Kano State, Nigeria that provide a high level medical care to a large population of people in the state and are located within the metropolis. Ethical clearance was obtained from Aminu Kano (AKTH/MAC/SUB/12A/P-Teaching Hospital 3/VI/2159) and Kano State Hospital (MMSHZ/0324/III/167) Management Board before the commencement of the research. Diabetic patients with sign and symptoms of foot ulcers were included in the study. The sample size was determined using the formula: sample size =  $Z^2p(1-p)/d^2$ 

Where Z is a standard normal variate at (5%) type 1 error (P< 0.05) = 1.96,

P is the expected proportion in the population based on previous studies = 6% (Mukhtar *et al.*, 2016), d = absolute error or precision (5%) Sample size =  $1.96^2 \times 0.06 (1-0.06)/0.05^2 =$ 86.7≈87

Approximately 100 to the nearest 100

Discharges from wound of diabetic foot ulcer patients were collected using sterile cotton swabs (Tamalli *et al.*, 2015). The swabs were labeled and transported immediately to the laboratory for analysis. Oral informed consent was obtained from the patients before sample collection. Patient personal details, antibiotic regime and other relevant information were collected using questionnaire.

## Culture and identification

The samples were streaked on chocolate agar and Mac-Conkey agar and incubated at 37°C for 24hrs aerobically. Identification of the isolates was done based on colony morphology, Gram staining and biochemical test such as Triple sugar ion, Citrate, Urease, Indole, Coagulase, Catalase(Tamalli, 2015).

### Antibiotic sensitivity test

The organisms isolated were subjected to antibiotic susceptibility testing on Mueller-Hinton agar using Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion guidelines method according to CLSI (Mohanasoundaram, 2012). A sterile swab stick was used to inoculate the standard inoculums (1.5×108CFU/ml) of the test organism evenly on the surface of the Mueller Hinton agar and was allowed to stand for three minutes to drv. Commercially prepared discs of antibiotics were placed on the media (Olowo-okere et al., 2017). The plates were then incubated at 35C for 18-24hrs after which the zone of inhibition for each of the antibiotics were observed and recorded (Olowo-okere etal.. 2017).The antibiotics tested were; Ceftaxidime (30µg), Ciprofloxacin Cefuroxime (5µg), (30µg), Gentamicin (10µg), Ofloxacin (5µg), Augmentin (30µg), Nitrofurantoin (300µg), Cloxacillin (5µg), Cefixime (5µg).

The data collected were analyzed and presented using descriptive statistics of frequency, percentages and tables.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## Demographic features of the patients

The demographic profile of the Diabetic foot ulcer patients showed that males(84%) were commonly more affected than females (16%). The male: female ratio was 3:1 which is in consistence with the findings of other studies (Mathangi and Prabhakaran 2013; Iyanar et al., 2014;Yerat and Rangasamy, 2015; ). This may be due to higher level of outdoor activities among males compared to females (Zubair et al., 2010). Studies in southern Nigeria and other countries shows almost equal gender predisposition to DFU (Jeber and Saeed, 2013; Akaa et al., 2017 ) which may be because of the engagement of females in more outdoor activitiees now due to global economic challenges in order to raise money to cater for the family (Akaaet al., 2017). The highest number of patients with DFU belonged to the age group of 51-60years followed by 41-50 and 61-70years. On the other hand lowest number was seen among 20-30 and 31-40 age group which is similar to the findings of other researchers (Yerat and Rangasamy 2015; Akwahet al., 2015).

This may be due to aging and long exposure to diabetes mellitus because most of the patients investigated had diabetes for up to 10years and above. Table 1. Shahi *et al.*, (2012) found in their study in Iran that risk factor for development of DFU was above 50years of age.

A person with diabetes foot ulcer is more prone to infection which may become severe and lead to amputation. In this study, of the 100 DFU patients analyzed, 18% had undergone amputation while 85% had not. (Table 1).

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of the patients suffering from DFU in Kano, 2018

Parameter	Specificity	frequency
Gender	Male	84
	Female	16
Age	20-30	2
	31-40	4
	41-50	28
	51-60	37
	61-70	19
	71-80	10
Duration of diabetes	≤10	58
	≥11	42
History of amputation	present	18
	absent	82

The findings also showed that there were more outpatients (63%) than the inpatients in the study area. This may be because of the highest cost of managements of DFU in the hospitals and most of the patients cannot afford the cost. Desalu and co-wokers (2011) reported that in Nigeria the mean costs for successfully treating a patient with Diabetis Mellitus Foot ulceration was 181,581.00 Nigerian Naira (NGN). This amount is unaffordable by most hospital patients as about 60% of the population live below the poverty line

#### Bacterial pathogens isolated

Over the past 25years, many studies (Ramani *et al.*, 1991; Ekeh *et al.*,2003) have reported the mixed bacterial nature of diabetic foot infection involving both gram positive and gram negative bacteria with the predominance of gram positive bacteria, usually *S.aureus* in the infection. But nowadays, ongoing studies reported the monobacterial nature of DFU and

the predominance of gram negative bacteria. This kind of inconsistency could be because of time, geographical variation, or the type and severity of the infection included in the study(Jassim, 2014).

In this study, a mono bacterial nature of diabetic foot ulcer was observed in 68% of the samples and mixed bacteria in 21% of the samples while the remaining 11 samples yielded no bacterial growth. This agreed with the findings of a number of studies((Mathangi and Prabhakaran 2013; Tamalli *et al.*, 2015).

While studying the predominant pathogens in diabetic foot infection, it was observed that gram negative bacteria (84%) account for the most frequently isolated pathogen and E.coli(27%) was found to be predominant followed by *Klebsiella* species(21%) and *Proteus*(19%). *S.aureus*(16%) was found to be the predominant gram positive bacteria isolated. Table 2.

Table	2.	Percentage	prevalence	of	hacterial	isolates	in	diabetic	foot	ulcer
Tuble	<b>~</b> •	i ci cciitage	prevalence	01	Ducteriat	isoluces		alubetie	1000	uteer

Isolate	Frequency	Percentage
Escherichia coli	28	27
Staphylloccus aureus	16	16
Klebsiella species	22	21
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	8	8
Proteus species	20	19
Citrobacter species	6	6
Streptococcus specie	3	3
Total	103	100

With regards to the susceptibility pattern, the result showed that most of the isolated bacteria showed good sensitivity against Ciprofloxacin, Ofloxacin, Nitrofurantoin and Gentamicin and less sensitivity to Cefixime, Ceftaxidime, and Cefuroxime. Moreover, all the isolates showed 100% resistance to Augementing. The resistance to most of the antibiotics may be because the patients were on antibiotics for a long period of time.

Table 3: Antibiotic Resistance pattern of isolated bacterial species from DFU Patient

Resistance pattern of the Isolated Organisms							
Citrobacter spp (*6)	E. coli(*28)	<i>Klebsiella</i> spp (*22)	Proteus spp (*20)	Pseudomonas spp (*8)	Staphylococcus spp (*16)	Streptococcus spp (*3)	
1(17%)	12(43%)	11(50%)	10(50%)	6(75%)	5(31%)	2(67%)	
4(67%)	13(46%)	12(55%)	11(55%)	4(50%)	7(44%)	2(67%)	
1(17%)	12(43%)	10(45%)	7(35%)	4(50%)	5(31%)	1(33%)	
4(67%)	15(54%)	10(45%)	13(65%)	7(88%)	7(44%)	2(67%)	
5(83%)	25(89%)	20(90%)	20(100%)	8(100%)	13(81%)	3(100%)	
6(100%)	28(100%)	20(91%)	20(100%)	8(100%)	14(87%)	3(100%)	
6(100%)	27(96%)	21(96%)	20(100%)	8(100%)	15(94%)	2(67%)	
6(100%)	28(100%)	22(100%)	20(100%)	8(100%)	16(100%)	3(100%)	
	Citrobacter spp (*6) 1(17%) 4(67%) 1(17%) 4(67%) 5(83%) 6(100%) 6(100%) 6(100%)	Citrobacter spp (*6) E. coli(*28)   1(17%) 12(43%)   4(67%) 13(46%)   1(17%) 12(43%)   4(67%) 15(54%)   5(83%) 25(89%)   6(100%) 28(100%)   6(100%) 28(100%)	Citrobacter E. Klebsiella   spp (*6) coli(*28) spp (*22)   1(17%) 12(43%) 11(50%)   4(67%) 13(46%) 12(55%)   1(17%) 12(43%) 10(45%)   4(67%) 15(54%) 10(45%)   4(67%) 25(89%) 20(90%)   6(100%) 28(100%) 21(96%)   6(100%) 28(100%) 22(100%)	Resistance pattern o   Citrobacter spp (*6) E. Klebsiella spp (*22) Proteus spp (*20)   1(17%) 12(43%) 11(50%) 10(50%)   4(67%) 13(46%) 12(55%) 11(55%)   1(17%) 12(43%) 10(45%) 7(35%)   4(67%) 15(54%) 10(45%) 13(65%)   5(83%) 25(89%) 20(90%) 20(100%)   6(100%) 27(96%) 21(96%) 20(100%)   6(100%) 28(100%) 22(100%) 20(100%)	Resistance pattern of the Isolated C   Citrobacter spp (*6) E. Klebsiella spp (*2) Proteus spp (*2) Pseudomonas spp (*8)   1(17%) 12(43%) 11(50%) 10(50%) 6(75%)   4(67%) 13(46%) 12(55%) 11(55%) 4(50%)   1(17%) 12(43%) 10(45%) 7(35%) 4(50%)   4(67%) 15(54%) 10(45%) 7(35%) 4(50%)   4(67%) 15(54%) 10(45%) 13(65%) 7(88%)   5(83%) 25(89%) 20(90%) 20(100%) 8(100%)   6(100%) 28(100%) 20(100%) 8(100%) 6(100%)   6(100%) 28(100%) 22(100%) 20(100%) 8(100%)	Resistance pattern of the Isolated OrganismsCitrobacter spp (*6)E.Klebsiella spp (*22)Proteus spp (*20)Pseudomonas spp (*8)Staphylococcus spp (*16)1(17%)12(43%)11(50%)10(50%)6(75%)5(31%)4(67%)13(46%)12(55%)11(55%)4(50%)7(44%)1(17%)12(43%)10(45%)7(35%)4(50%)5(31%)4(67%)15(54%)10(45%)13(65%)7(88%)7(44%)5(83%)25(89%)20(90%)20(100%)8(100%)13(81%)6(100%)28(100%)21(96%)20(100%)8(100%)15(94%)6(100%)28(100%)22(100%)20(100%)8(100%)16(100%)	

OFL=Ofloxacin, NIT=Nitrofurontoin, GEN=gentamicin, CPR=Ciproloxacin, CXM=Cefixime, CRX=Cefuroxime, CAZ=Ceftaxidime, AUG=Augmenting, spp=specie

\*= indicate number of specie isolated

### CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that males are more affected with diabetic foot ulcer than females in the study area with the predominance of gram negative bacteria usually *E. coli* in most of the infected ulcers. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern showed more resistance of the investigated bacterial pathogens to

#### REFERENCES

- Akaa, P.D., Ahachi, N.C., Kortor, N.J., Mue, D.D., Elach, C.I., Ogiator, M., Utoo, M.P. and Osuji. J.I. (2017). Diabetic foot ulcers: Epidemiology, management modalities and out come at Benue State University Teaching Hospital Makurdi. Journal of Advance in Medicine and Medical Research 22(10):2-12
- Akwah, L., Charles, F.N., Nchinwan, E.N., Tsinda, E.K. and Kamdje, A.H.N.(2015). Bacteriology of Diabetic Foot Ulcers with Reference to Multidrug Resistance Strains at the Yaounde Central Hospital (Cameroon). Journal of Disease and Medicinal Plants 1(4):53-58.
- Bakker, K., Apelquist, J. and Schaper, N.C, (2012). Practical guidelines on the management and prevention of the diabetic foot. *Diabetes Metabolism Research* 2(1):255-31
- Basher, K., Ogwumike, O.O., Opeyemi, I.A., Olagoke, A.J. and Abdulkadir, G.H. (2015). Epidemiologic Features of Amputation in Kano, North -West,

augumentin, cefixime, ceptaxidime and cefuroxime.

Further study needs to be carried out to ascertain the causes of resistance to antibiotics and the predisposition factors to diabetic foot ulcer in people with diabetes in the study area in order to minimize the risks of infection and amputation in people with diabetes in the area.

> Nigeria: A Five -year Retrospective Study.Bayero Journal of Evidence-Based Physiotherapy Maiden Edition1(1):61-72

- Desalu, O.O., Salawu, F.K., Jimoh,, A.K., Adekoya A.O., Busari, O.A. and Olokoba, A.B. (2011). Diabetic foot care: self reported knowledge and practice among patients attending three tertiary hospital in Nigeria. *Ghana medical journal*45(2):60-65
- Ekeh, E.I, Peupet, F. and Nwadiaro, C. (2003). Studies of diabetic foot ulcers in patients at Jos University teaching hospital, Nigeria. African Journal of Clinical and Experimental Microbiology 4(2):52-61
- Iyanar, K., Premavathy, R.K., Cecellia, S., Jayalakshmi, M., Priyarsini, S.S. and Shanta, S. (2014). Isolation and antibiotic susceptibility of bacteria from foot infections in the patients with diabetes mellitus type 1 and type II in the district of Kancheepuram, Tmil Nadu India. International Journal of Research in Medical Science 2 (2):457-461

UMYU Journal of Microbiology Research

www.ujmr.umyu.edu.ng

- Jassim, F. A.A. (2014). Bacteriological Evaluation of Diabetic Foot Infections of Patients in Al- Salam Hospital in Mosul City/ Irag and Antibiotic Sensitivity Pattern. International Journal of Advance Research 2(5):614-623
- Jeber, A.M. and Saeed, A.E. (2013). Isolation and identification of bacterial causes from diabetic foot ulcer. *Tirkit Journal* of PureSciences, 18(3):6-9
- Lipsky, B.A., Brendt, A.R., Deery, H.G., Joseph, W.S., Karchmer, A.W., Lefrock, J.L., Lew, D.P., Mader, J.T., Norden, C. and Tan, J.S. (2004). Infectious diseases society of America. Clinical Infectious Diseases39(7):885-910
- Mathangi, T. and Prabhakaran, P. (2013). Prevalence of bacteria isolated from type 2 diabetic foot ulcers and antibiotic susceptibility pattern. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences 2(10);329-337
- Mohanasoundaram, K.M. (2012). The microbiological profile of diabetic foot infection. Journal of Clinical and Diagonostic Research 6(3):409-411
- Mukhtar, U.D., Terhile, L., Abdullahi, I.N., Alkasim, A.A., Yahya, H.M. (2016). Prevalence and healthcare costs associated with the management of diabetic foot ulcer in patients attending Ahmadu Bello University Teaching Hospital, Nigeria. International Journal of Health Sciences 10(2):220-228.

- Olowo-Okere, A., Funsho, R.A., Abass, A., Suleiman, A.S., Hussain, U.Y. and Tanko, N. (2017). Incidence and antibiotic susceptibility profile of staphylococcus aureus isolates from wound of patients at specialist hospital sokoto, Nigeria. Journal of medical Bacteriology 6(30):44-50
- Ramani, A., Ramani, R., Shivananda, P.G. and Kundaje, G.N. (1991). Bacteriology OF Diabetic foot ulcers. Indian Journal of Patholology and Microbiology 34(2):81-87
- Shahi, K.S., Kuma, r A., Kumar, S., Singh, K.S., Gupta, K.S. and Singh, B.T.(2012). Prevalence of diabetic foot ulcer and associated risk factor in diabetic patients from north India. *The Journal* of Diabetic Foot Complication 4(3):83-91
- Tamalli, M., Gamal, M.A.B. and Algahazal, M.A. (2015).Common aerobic bacteria isolates from diabetic foot ulcer and their antibiotic susceptibility testing. *World Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences* 4(8):260-266.
- Yerat, R.C. and Rangasamy, V.R. (2015). A clinical study of diabetic foot ulcer infection in South India. International Journal of Medicine and Public Health 5(3):236-241
- Zubair, M., Malik, A. and Ahmad, J. (2010). Clinico-microbiological study and antimicrobial drug resistance profile of diabetic foot infection in north India. *The Foot*, 21:61-14