

https://doi.org/10.47430/ujmr.2492.029

Received: 12th September, 2024

Accepted: 8th December, 2024



Evaluation of Antibacterial Activities of Spondias mombin and Thaumatococcus daniellii Leaf Extracts against Multidrug-Resistant Clinical Isolates

Japhet Erasmus Aisoni*^(D), Muhammad Yusha'u^(D), Aminu Bukar^(D) and Bashir Mohammed^(D) Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Life Sciences, Bayero University, P.M.B 3011, Kano, Nigeria *Correspondence author: Tel No:+2348065504321, E-mail: *japheterasmusaisoni@gmail.com*

Abstract

The rise of multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacteria has rendered the treatment of infectious diseases less effective, leading to significant economic burdens and highlighting the urgent need for new antimicrobial agents. This study aimed to evaluate the antibacterial activities of Spondias mombin and Thaumatococcus daniellii against the MDR clinical isolates such as Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella pneumoniae, and Proteus mirabilis. The plant materials were extracted using the maceration method with acetone, ethanol, and chloroform as solvents. The phytochemical screening, and antibacterial activity of the extracts against the clinical isolates, minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) were determined with standard procedure. Phytochemicals (saponins, sugars, tannins, flavonoids, alkaloids, steroids, triterpenes, terpenoids, and cardiac glycosides) were present in both plants' acetone, chloroform and ethanol crude extract. However, anthraquinones were absent in both acetone and ethanol extracts, whereas tannins, flavonoids, and anthraquinones were absent in the chloroform extract. The results showed that ethanol extract from T. daniellii exhibited the greatest antibacterial activity of 32.00 mm against E. coli. The minimum MIC and MBC for S. mombin ethanol extract against P. mirabilis were 3.125 mg/ml and 6.25 mg/ml, respectively. These findings support the potential of plant extracts as alternative antimicrobial agents against multidrug-resistant bacteria.

Keywords: Antibacterial activity, Spondias mombin, Thaumatococcus daniellii, Multidrugresistant bacteria

INTRODUCTION

Antibiotic resistance has become a global threat and menace making the treatment of infectious diseases ineffective with great economic implications (WHO, 2022). The emergence of multidrug-resistant clinical isolates has been triggered by the abuse (misuse and overuse) of antibiotics in the treatment of man and excessive use in agriculture and activities involving aquaculture (Dadgostar, 2019; WHO, Despite documented antibacterial 2022). Spondias mombin properties of and Thaumatococcus daniellii (Ogunro et al., 2023; Ukwubile et al., 2017), limited studies have explored their efficacy against MDR clinical isolates, which this study aims to address. The emergence of superbugs has been fueled by antibiotic resistance, making their treatment challenging, contributing to a decrement in productivity and expensive treatments (Dadgostar, 2019; CDC, 2020; WHO, 2023). Furthermore, different infections and health challenges involving pneumonia, sepsis, typhoid fever, infections from wounds and the urinary

mirabilis, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Klebsiella* pneumoniae. In the same vein, the production of extended-spectrum B-lactamases (ESBLs) and carbapenemases by these infections as a way of promoting antibiotic resistance and making classical antibiotics ineffective have greatly encouraged researchers to search for new agents from *Spondias mombin* and *Thaumatococcus daniellii* and other medicinal plants (Munita and Arias, 2016; Hamid *et al.*, 2017; Osumah *et al.*, 2021; Chaachouay and Zidane, 2024).

According to Ogunro et al. (2023), Spondias mombin is a medicinal plant that grows in the tropical regions and is popularly called in English vellow mombin or hog plum while the Yorubas called it *lyeye*, lgbos referred to it as *ljikara* but the Hausa called it Tsardar masar. S. mombin, a fruit-bearing tree originated from Africa, Central and South America. Historically, the leaves, fruit, and bark of S. mombin have been used traditionally to treat wounds, fever, tract infections. diarrhoea. urinarv gastrointestinal disorders, and stomachache (Adebayo-Tayo et al., 2014; Osei et al., 2018; Adebola and Musa, 2021; Ogunro et al., 2023).

UMYU Journal of Microbiology Research

tract are caused by multi-drug-resistant

organisms involving Escherichia coli, Proteus

In addition, previous research by Osumah et al. (2021) revealed that the antibacterial activities of Spondias mombin n-hexane and n-butanol leaf extracts against Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus aureus, and Salmonella typhimurium increased as the concentration increases except against Escherichia coli. Similarly, antibacterial activity was recorded against Klebsiella pneumoniae. Escherichia coli. and Staphylococcus aureus using aqueous and ethanol extracts (Adebayo-Tayo et al., 2014). al., (2017) Ukwubile et opined that Thaumatococcus daniellii, a rhizomatous plant also known as a sweet prayer plant and belonging to the family Marantaceae grows in the tropical forest and coastal areas in Nigeria, Cote d'Ivoire and Ghana. Osei et al. (2018) reported that T. daniellii gained wider recognition because of the production of a natural sweetener called thaumatin, which helps modify and enhance flavor in drinks and foods. T. daniellii has been very useful traditionally to treat infections. inflammation. and gastrointestinal disorders (Osei et al., 2018). al., (2017) reported Ukwubile et the antibacterial susceptibility of T. daniellii leaf extracts against Bacillus subtilis, Streptococcus pyogenes, Shigella dysenteriae, Campylobacter ieiuni. Salmonella tvphimurium and Staphylococcus aureus. Therefore, this study focuses on the evaluation of antibacterial activities of **Spondias** mombin and danielli Thaumatococcus extracts against multidrug-resistant clinical isolates.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample Collection

Plant Materials

The Spondias mombin and Thaumatococcus Daniel authenticated leaves were collected from a forest in Oke-Opa along Barrack Road, Ondo West Local Government Area in Ondo State of Nigeria on July 2nd, 2023. Vouchers numbers: NIPRD/H/7358 NIPRD/H/7359 and for Thaumatococcus danielli and Spondias mombin respectively, were issued after the leaves collected were identified and authenticated by the National Institute for Pharmaceutical Research and Development (NIPRD) in Idu-Abuja and the vouchers deposited in the Herbarium Department of NIPRD.

Clinical Isolates

In this study, *Proteus mirabilis*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and *Escherichia coli* were used as clinical isolates. They were collected from the Microbiology Laboratory, at National Hospital Abuja and confirmed as multi-drug-resistant isolates using the Kirby-Bauer diffusion method (CLSI, 2020).

Preparation of Plant Materials

The identified and authenticated leaves were washed thoroughly under running tap water to remove any dirty or extraneous materials. They were air-dried at room temperature for 3 weeks, then pulverized and ground into powder using an electronic blender, and then stored in air-tight containers for further analyses.

Extraction of Plant Materials

The leaves of Thaumatococcus danielli and Spondias mombin were extracted using a modified maceration method (Ingle et al., 2017; Hidayat and Wulandari, 2021). Ninety-two grams (92 g) of powdered leaves of T. daniellii were weighed into a conical flask, and 828 ml of acetone was added, kept at room temperature, and shaken every 24 h and for 7 days. The extract was filtered at the end of 7 days with Whatman filter paper under a vacuum and dried at room temperature (25°C) using a watch glass dish. The extract obtained was weighed, and the percentage yield was calculated as shown in Equation 1. The above procedure was repeated using ethanol and chloroform. The extraction of S. mombin leaves was done using the same with procedure acetone, ethanol. and chloroform.

Percentage Yield= <u>Weight of Extract</u> x 100 Eq. 1 Weight of Double Plant Material

Weight of Powdered Plant Material

Screening for the Presence of Phytochemicals in Crude Extracts

As reported in the study Oloninefa *et al.*, (2024), the standard procedure was used to screen the phytochemicals in the crude extracts. The phytochemicals screened include Alkaloids, flavonoids, terpenoids, tannins, cardiac glycosides, anthraquinones, steroids, triterpenes, and carbohydrates.

Standardization of Clinical Isolates

A 0.5 McFarland Turbidity Standard was used to standardize the selected clinical isolates (Murray *et al.*, 2007).

Extracts Concentrations and Preparations

A modified method of Oloninefa *et al.* (2024) was used to prepare 40 mg/ml of the extract concentration. A 200 mg of acetone, ethanol, and chloroform crude extracts each was weighed into 5 ml of 20% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to obtain 40 mg/ml concentrations for acetone, ethanol, and chloroform, respectively. The remaining concentrations (100 mg/ml, 80 mg/ml, and 60 mg/ml) were prepared with a similar procedure.

262

www.ujmr.umyu.edu.ng

Determination of Antibacterial Susceptibility of the Crude Extracts against the Clinical Isolates

The agar well diffusion method was used to determine the antibacterial activity of the crude extracts against the clinical isolates (CLSI, 2015). Mueller Hinton Agar (MHA) was prepared as stated by the manufacturer. Standardized cultures of the clinical isolates were streaked on the Petri dishes containing about 20 ml MHA using a sterilized wire inoculating loop. A 6 mm sterile cork borer was used to make wells which were sealed with a molten agar to prevent the leakage of extracts. Each well received 100 µL (0.10 ml) of different concentrations of plant extracts. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) served as negative control, while Ciprofloxacin, a standard drug, served as the positive control. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h at the expiration of 30 minutes pre-diffusion time. The zones of inhibition were measured directly with a metre rule while the above method was carried out in triplicates, and the mean of the triplicate result was determined.

Determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) by Broth Dilution Method The MIC of the plant extracts was determined using a two-fold broth dilution method as Mu *et al.* (2021) outlined. Different concentrations (100, 50, 25, 12.5, 6.25, and 3.125mg/ml) of the effective plant extracts were prepared by twofold serial dilution of the stock solution in test tubes. Test organisms were inoculated into test tubes containing the extracts and incubated for 24 h at 37°C. The result was determined based on the clear and turbidity tubes. The negative control contained the plant extracts without the standardized isolates.

Determination of Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC)

The tubes with no growth were sub-cultured onto fresh plates to determine the MBC (Sampaio *et al.*, 2019). At the end of 24 h of incubation period, the lowest concentration without bacteria growth was regarded as MBC.

Data Analysis

IBM SPSS Statistics Version 23 was utilized to analyze the data obtained in the work. The level of significance was tested at p<0.05 (IBM Corp., 2015).

RESULTS

Phytochemical Components in Spondias mombin and Thaumatococcus daniellii Leave Extracts

The phytochemicals from Spondias mombin and Thaumatococcus daniellii extracts were revealed in Tables 1 and 2. The results showed carbohydrates, saponins, tannins. that flavonoids, alkaloids, steroids, triterpenes, terpenoids, and cardiac glycosides were present in acetone and ethanol crude extract for both plants, whereas anthraguinones were absent. On the other hand, saponins, carbohydrates, alkaloids, steroids, triterpenes, terpenoids, and cardiac glycosides were present in the chloroform crude extract of both plants but tannins, flavonoids and anthraguinones were absent (Tables 1 and 2).

• •	•		
Phytochemicals	Acetone	Ethanol	Chloroform
Saponins	+	+	+
Carbohydrates	+	+	+
Tannins	+	+	-
Flavonoids	+	+	-
Alkaloids	+	+	+
Anthraquinones	-	-	-
Steroids	+	+	+
Triterpenes	+	+	+
Terpenoids	+	+	+
Cardiac glycosides	+	+	+

Table 1: Qualitative Phytochemical Components in Spondias mombin Leaf Extracts

Key: + : Present; - : Absent

Phytochemicals	Acetone	Ethanol	Chloroform
Saponins	+	+	+
Carbohydrates	+	+	+
Tannins	+	+	-
Flavonoids	+	+	-
Alkaloids	+	+	+
Anthraquinones	-	-	-
Steroids	+	+	+
Triterpenes	+	+	+
Terpenoids	+	+	+
Cardiac glycosides	+	+	+

Table 2: Qualitative Phytochemical Components in Thaumatococcus daniellii Leaf Extracts

Key: + : Present; - : Absent

Antibacterial Activities of Spondias mombin and Thaumatococcus daniellii Extracts

The results showed that Spondias mombin and Thaumatococcus daniellii leaf extracts were active against the selected organisms as shown in Tables 3-6 (Table 3, 4, 5 & 6). The results revealed significant activities against all the bacteria. Acetone extract of S. mombin was active against the isolates as follows: E. coli (12.00-14.00); K. pneumoniae (12.00-22.00), P. mirabilis (12.00-18.67) and S. aureus (8.00-10.40); the results of chloroform extract of S. mombin against the isolates were: E. coli (8.00-14.00); K. pneumoniae (12.00-20.00), P. mirabilis (12.00-26.00) and S. aureus (8.00-10.40) meanwhile ethanol extract of S. mombin against the organisms were: E. coli (10.00-12.00), K. pneumoniae (10.00-16.00): P. mirabilis (16.07-21.00) and S. aureus (18.00-26.00). On the other hand, Ciprofloxacin activity against E. coli recorded 38.17; K. pneumoniae

(50.00), *P. mirabilis* (36.00), and *S. aureus* (40.67) (Tables 3 & 4).

Furthermore, the activity of acetone extract of T. daniellii against the isolates was as follows: E. coli (14.00-16.40); K. pneumoniae (12.00-19.47), P. mirabilis (19.93-31.70) and S. aureus (8.00-10.73); chloroform extract of T. daniellii against the isolates showed the following results: E. coli (14.00-16.40); K. pneumoniae (8.00-16.47); P. mirabilis (8.00-14.80) and S. aureus (10.00-13.71) while ethanol extract activity against E. coli ranged from 24.00-32.00, K. pneumoniae (10.00-13.80): P. mirabilis (12.00-18.00) and S. aureus (10.10-17.80). The activity of Ciprofloxacin against the isolates were E. coli (44.00), K. pneumoniae (42.00), P mirabilis (36.13), and S. aureus (36.07) (Tables 5-6). However, the DMSO was not active against all the isolates in both plants, but there were significant differences in the results obtained in both plants at p<0.05 as shown in Tables 3-6 (Table 3, 4, 5 & 6).

	0					
Klebsiella pneumoniae	100 mg/ml	22.00±1.15 ^c	20.00±1.15 ^c	16.00±1.15 ^b	50.00±0.00 ^d	00±0.00ª
	80 mg/ml	18.00±1.15°	14.00±1.15 ^b	14.00±1.15 ^b	50.00±0.00 ^d	00±0.00ª
	60 mg/ml	16.00±1.15°	12.80±1.15 ^b	12.00±1.15 ^b	50.00±0.00 ^d	00±0.00ª
	40 mg/ml	12.00±1.15 ^b	12.00±1.15 ^b	10.00±1.15 ^b	50.00±0.00℃	00±0.00ª
Escherichia coli	100 mg/ml	14.00±1.15°	14.00±1.15 ^c	12.00±1.13 ^b	38.17±0.09 ^d	00±0.00ª
	80 mg/ml	13.40±1.15 ^b	12.00±1.15 ^b	10.00±1.15 ^b	38.17±0.09 ^c	00±0.00ª
	60 mg/ml	13.00±1.15 ^b	10.00±1.15 ^b	10.40±1.15 ^b	38.17±0.09 ^c	00±0.00ª
	40 mg/ml	12.00±1.15 ^c	8.00±1.15 ^b	10.00±1.15 ^{b,c}	38.17±0.09 ^d	00±0.00ª
:/Control	Extracts					
		Acetone	Chloroform	Ethanol	Ciprofloxacin	DMSO

Table 3: Antibacterial Activity of Leaf Extracts of Spondias mombin (mm)

 $\begin{bmatrix} & & \\ &$

ts/Control				Proteus mirabilis				Staphylococcus aureus
Extrac	40 mg/ml	60 mg/ml	80 mg/ml	100 mg/ml	40 mg/ml	60 mg/ml	80 mg/ml	100 mg/ml
Acetone	12.00±1.15 ^b	14.00±1.15 ^b	16.00±1.15 ^b	18.67±0.67 ^b	8.00±1.15 ^b	10.00±1.15 ^b	10.20±1.15 ^b	10.40±1.15 ^b
Chloroform	12.00±1.15 ^b	14.00±1.15 ^b	16.00±1.15 ^b	26.00±1.15°	8.00±1.15 ^b	10.00±1.15 ^b	10.20±1.15 ^b	10.40±1.15 ^b
Ethanol	16.07±0.67 ^c	17.60±0.61 ^c	19.00±1.15 ^b	21.00±1.15 ^b	18.00±1.15 ^c	19.00±1.15℃	22.00±1.15 ^c	26.00±1.15 ^c
Ciprofloxacin	36.00±1.15 ^d	36.00±1.15 ^d	36.00±1.15 ^c	36.00±1.15 ^d	40.67±1.15 ^d	40.67±1.15 ^d	40.67±1.15 ^d	40.67±1.15 ^d
DMSO	0.00 ± 0.00^{a}	0.00±0.00ª	0.00±0.00ª	0.00±0.00ª	0.00±0.00ª	0.00±0.00ª	0.00±0.00 ^a	0.00±0.00ª

UJMR, Vol. 9 No. 2, December, 2024, pp. 261 - 272

Results represent mean ± standard error of mean of triplicate determination. Values with the same superscript in the same column are not significantly different at p<0.05

:ts/Control				Escherichia coli				Klebsiella pneumoniae
Extrac	40 mg/ml	60 mg/ml	80 mg/ml	100 mg/ml	40 mg/ml	60 mg/ml	80 mg/ml	100 mg/ml
Acetone	14.00±1.15 ^b	16.00±1.15 ^b	16.20±1.15 ^b	16.40±1.15 ^b	12.00±1.15 ^c	13.23±1.18 ^b	15.43±1.10 ^b	19.47±1.21 ^c
Chloroform	14.00±1.15 ^b	16.00±1.15 ^b	16.20±1.15 ^b	16.40±1.15 ^b	8.00±1.15 ^b	10.00±1.15 ^b	14.33±1.09 ^b	16.47±1.05 ^{b,c}
Ethanol	24.00±1.15 ^c	25.00±1.15 ^c	28.00±1.15 ^c	32.00±1.15 ^c	10.00±1.15 ^{b,c}	10.47±1.16 ^b	12.33±1.21 ^b	13.80±1.78 ^b
Ciprofloxacin	44.00±1.15 ^d	44.00±1.15 ^d	44.00±1.15 ^d	44.00±1.15 ^d	42.00±1.05 ^d	42.00±1.05 ^c	42.00±1.05 ^c	42.00±1.05 ^d
DMSO	0.00±0.00ª	0.00±0.00ª	0.00±0.00ª	0.00±0.00ª	0.00±0.00ª	0.00±0.00ª	0.00±0.00ª	0.00±0.00ª

 Table 5: Antibacterial Activity of Leaf Extracts of Thaumatococcus daniellii (mm)

Results represent mean \pm standard error of mean of triplicate determination. Values with the same superscript in the same column are not significantly different at p<0.05

cts/Control				Proteus mirabilis				Staphylococcus aureus
Extrac	40 mg/ml	60 mg/ml	80 mg/ml	100 mg/ml	40 mg/ml	60 mg/ml	80 mg/ml	100 mg/ml
Acetone	19.93±0.59 ^d	23.13±0.55 ^d	27.07±0.48 ^c	31.70±0.60 ^d	8.00±1.15 ^b	10.07±1.10 ^b	10.60±1.27 ^b	10.73±1.21 ^b
Chloroform	8.00±1.15 ^b	10.00±1.15 ^b	12.87±0.59 ^b	14.80±1.15 ^b	10.00±1.15 ^b	10.60±1.27 ^b	12.07±1.16 ^{b,c}	13.17±1.21 ^b
Ethanol	12.00±1.15 ^c	14.00±1.15 ^c	14.00±1.15 ^b	18.00±1.15℃	10.10±1.18 ^b	12.37±1.18 ^b	14.53±1.16 ^c	17.80±0.61 ^c
Ciprofloxacin	36.13±1.27 ^e	36.13±1.27 ^e	36.13±1.27 ^d	36.13±1.27€	36.07±1.21 ^c	36.07±1.21 ^c	36.07±1.21 ^c	36.07±1.21 ^c
DMSO	0.00±0.00ª	0.00±0.00ª	0.00 ± 0.00^{a}	0.00±0.00ª	0.00±0.00ª	0.00±0.00ª	0.00±0.00ª	0.00±0.00ª

 Table 6: Antibacterial Activity of Leaf Extracts of Thaumatococcus daniellii (mm)

Results represent mean \pm standard error of mean of triplicate determination. Values with the same superscript in the same column are not significantly different at p<0.05

Minimum Inhibitory Concentration and Minimum Bactericidal Concentration of Spondias mombin and Thaumatococcus daniellii Leaf Extracts

Tables 7-8 revealed the results of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) of Spondias mombin and Thaumatococcus daniellii leaf extracts. The MIC values for Spondias mombin acetone extract were: E. coli (25 mg/ml), K. pneumoniae (12.5 mg/ml), P. mirabilis (6.25 mg/ml) and S. aureus (25 mg/ml); S. mombin chloroform extract had the following MIC values: E. coli (50 mg/ml), K. pneumoniae (25 mg/ml), P. mirabilis (25 mg/ml) and S. aureus (100 mg/ml) while the S. mombin ethanol extract had the following MIC values: E. coli (50 mg/ml), K. P. mirabilis (3.125 pneumoniae (25 mg/ml), mg/ml) and S. aureus (6.25 mg/ml) (Table 7).

The MBC values for S. mombin acetone extract were: E. coli (50 mg/ml), K. pneumoniae (25 mg/ml), P. mirabilis (12.5 mg/ml) and S. aureus (50 mg/ml); S. mombin chloroform extract had the following MBC values: E. coli (100 mg/ml), K. pneumoniae (50 mg/ml), P. mirabilis (50 mg/ml) and S. aureus (Above the concentration used) while the S. mombin ethanol extract had the following MBC values: E. coli (100 mg/ml), K. pneumoniae (50 mg/ml), P. mirabilis (6.25 mg/ml) and S. aureus (12.5 mg/ml) (Table 7).

Furthermore, the MIC values for *T. daniellii* acetone extract were: *E. coli* (25 mg/ml), *K. pneumoniae* (25 mg/ml), *P. mirabilis* (25 mg/ml) and *S. aureus* (100 mg/ml); *T. daniellii* chloroform extract had the following MIC values: *E. coli* (25 mg/ml), *K. pneumoniae* (50 mg/ml), *P. mirabilis* (50 mg/ml) and *S. aureus* (50 mg/ml) while the *T. daniellii* ethanol extract had the following MIC values: *E. coli* (12.5 mg/ml), *K. pneumoniae* (25 mg/ml), *R. mirabilis* (25 mg/ml), *P. mirabilis* (25 mg/ml), *P. mirabilis* (25 mg/ml), *R. mirabilis* (25 mg/ml), *R. mirabilis* (25 mg/ml), *M. mirabilis* (25 mg/ml) and *S. aureus* (25 mg/ml) (Table 8).

The MBC values for *T. daniellii* acetone extract were: *E. coli* (50 mg/ml), *K. pneumoniae* (50 mg/ml), *P. mirabilis* (50 mg/ml) and *S. aureus* (Above the concentration used); *T. daniellii* chloroform extract had the following MBC values: *E. coli* (50 mg/ml), *K. pneumoniae* (100 mg/ml), *P. mirabilis* (100 mg/ml) and *S. aureus* (100 mg/ml) while the *T. daniellii* ethanol extract had the following MBC values: *E. coli* (25 mg/ml), *K. pneumoniae* (50 mg/ml), *P. mirabilis* (50 mg/ml), *P. mirabilis* (50 mg/ml), *C. aureus* (100 mg/ml) while the *T. daniellii* ethanol extract had the following MBC values: *E. coli* (25 mg/ml), *K. pneumoniae* (50 mg/ml), *P. mirabilis* (50 mg/ml) and *S. aureus* (50 mg/ml) (Table 8).

Table 7: Minimum Inhibito	ory Concentration	and Minimum	Bactericidal	Concentration of	of the Extracts
of Spondias mombin leaf (mm)				

Bacterial Isolates	Acetone		Chlor	oform	Etha	anol
	MIC	MBC	MIC	MBC	MIC	MBC
	(mg/ml)	(mg/ml)	(mg/ml)	(mg/ml)	(mg/ml)	(mg/ml)
Escherichia coli	25	50	50	100	50	100
Klebsiella pneumoniae	12.5	25	25	50	25	50
Proteus mirabilis	6.25	12.5	25	50	3.125	6.25
Staphylococcus	25	50	100	*	6.25	12.5
aureus						

KEY:

MIC- Minimum inhibitory concentration. MBC- Minimum bactericidal concentration. *- MBC above concentration use

Table 8: Minimum Inhibitory Con	centration and Minimum	Bactericidal Conce	ntration of the I	Extracts
of Thaumatococcus daniellii leaf	(mm)			

Bacterial Isolates	rial Isolates Acetone		Chlor	oform	Etha	anol
	MIC	MBC	MIC	MBC	MIC	MBC
	(mg/ml)	(mg/ml)	(mg/ml)	(mg/ml)	(mg/ml)	(mg/ml)
Escherichia coli	25	50	25	50	12.5	25
Klebsiella pneumoniae	25	50	50	100	25	50
Proteus mirabilis	25	50	50	100	25	50
Staphylococcus aureus	100	*	50	100	25	50

KEY: MIC- Minimum inhibitory concentration. **MBC**- Minimum bactericidal concentration. ***-** MBC above concentration use

DISCUSSION

The study showed that different solvents used for the extraction pave the way for the emergence of diverse phytochemicals (Steroids, cardiac glycosides, tannins, flavonoids, saponins, alkaloids, triterpenes, and carbohydrates)

UJMR, Vol. 9 No. 2, December, 2024, pp. 261 - 272

obtained from the two plants (Spondias mombin and Thaumatococcus daniellii). Previous studies by Akintola et al., (2021) and Okoro et al. (2022) supported this result as captured in their reports that the polarity of solvents influences different phytochemicals obtained. Akintola et al. (2021), Okoro et al. (2022), Ahmad et al. (2022), and Oladeji et al. (2023) went further to state that because of the polarity of ethanol and acetone they have been used to extract different types of bioactive components that have antimicrobial, antioxidant. anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory potentials. However. the absence of anthraguinones could suggest that these compounds were insufficient in concentration or needed specific solvents for their extraction, as opined by Adeyemi and Lawal (2021), while the absence of flavonoids and tannins in chloroform extracts suggests that they may require specific solvents for effective extraction as reported by Ibrahim et al., (2020) and Akpan et al., (2023).

Furthermore, previous studies by Okeke and Chukwudi (2020), Oluwaseun et al. (2021), Ajayi et al. (2022) and Eze et al. (2023) agreed with the antibacterial activity of acetone extracts from both S. mombin and T. daniellii against the selected clinical isolates because of the antimicrobial properties of flavonoids, tannins, saponins, and terpenoids. Similarly, the highest antibacterial activity obtained from ethanol extract of T. daniellii against E. coli agrees with the previous work by Njoku et al. (2021) that reported the efficacy of ethanol to extract flavonoids. Generally, the study revealed the efficacy of the extracts obtained from the two plants against Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria, possibly going a long way to tackling multi-drug-resistant bacteria instead of conventional antibiotics (Smith and Jones, 2020). However, despite the high efficacy of the antibacterial activities of Ciprofloxacin against all the isolates yet, S. mombin and T. daniellii could serve as alternative antibacterial agents against the MDR bacteria (Olaniyi and Mustapha, 2022). The antibacterial activity results obtained for dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) are expected as it contains no antibacterial agents. The study showed that significant differences in antibacterial efficacy at p<0.05 suggest that the extract's potency depends on the solvent, plant species, and extraction methods used, as Adebayo et al. (2023) reported.

The low MIC results from acetone extract of S. *mombin* against *Proteus mirabilis* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in this study agree with the previous

E-ISSN: 2814 – 1822; P-ISSN: 2616 – 0668

results obtained by Oluwole et al. (2021), while the MBC corresponding values reveal higher concentrations are required to achieve bactericidal effects, particularly for E. coli and S. aureus. On the other hand, the chloroform extract of S. mombin, which required relatively higher MIC values for E. coli and S. aureus, agreed with the previous studies by Adebayo and Johnson (2022), suggesting that non-polar solvents like chloroform may be less effective in extracting polar bioactive compounds. Similarly, MBC values for the chloroform extract showed limited bactericidal potency, with the concentration needed to kill S. aureus exceeding the study's concentration range. Likewise, the lowest MIC values recorded from ethanol extract of S. mombin against P. mirabilis and S. aureus revealing potent bioactive compounds with high efficacy agreed with previous studies by Njoku et al. (2023) and Adebola and Musa (2021) while the MBC results support the effectiveness of ethanol for extraction as revealed by its bactericidal effects at low concentrations against P. mirabilis and S. aureus.

CONCLUSION

This study showed the significant antibacterial activity of *S. mombin* and *T. daniellii* leaf extracts against multidrug-resistant bacteria isolates due to the presence of bioactive compounds in the extracts (especially the ethanol extracts), suggesting possible use of these plants could serve as valuable sources of new antimicrobial agents and alternative to tackle the rising global threat of MDR bacteria.

Conflict Of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Recommendations

The following recommendations were made from the outcomes of this study:

(a) Medicinal plants such as S. *mombin* and T. *daniellii* should be sought as promising alternatives for treating bacterial infections.

(b) Further studies should be carried out on elucidating and purifying the phytochemicals in both S. *mombin* and T. *daniellii*.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Dr. Stephen Dare Oloninefa of the Department of Biological Sciences, Kogi State University, Kabba, Nigeria, for his significant contributions to the success of this study, especially in the statistical analyses of the results. REFERENCES

- Adebayo, J., Adekunle, O., & Ayoade, O. (2023). Influence of extraction solvents on antimicrobial properties of medicinal plants. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*, 17(4), 252-261.
- Adebayo, S., & Johnson, R. (2022). Effects of solvent choice on antimicrobial properties of medicinal plant extracts. African Journal of Medicinal Chemistry, 19(2), 115-123.
- Adebayo-Tayo, B. C., Adegoke, A. A., & Okoh, A. I. (2014). Phytochemical, antioxidant and antimicrobial studies of Spondias mombin extracts. Journal of Medicinal Plants Research, 8(19), 715-723.
- Adebola, O. A., & Musa, A. Y. (2021). Ethnobotanical studies and antibacterial properties of Spondias mombin leaf extract. Journal of Medicinal Plants Research, 15(4), 123-132.
- Adeyemi, A., & Lawal, B. (2021). Phytochemical investigation and antioxidant properties of selected medicinal plants. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*, 15(5), 34-45.
- Ahmad, T., Zhang, D., & Abbas, M. (2022). Phytochemical screening and antioxidant activities of different solvent extracts of medicinal plants. *International Journal of Phytomedicine*, 27(2), 101-109.
- Ajayi, T., Bello, F., & Usman, M. (2022). Phytochemical and antimicrobial properties of Spondias mombin leaf extracts. African Journal of Phytomedicine, 28(3), 134-145.
- Akintola, E., Adebayo, O., & Odetola, A. (2021). Comparative phytochemical analysis of medicinal plant extracts and their biological properties. *African Journal of Natural Sciences*, 18(3), 67-74.
- Akpan, I., Eke, P., & Essien, B. (2023). Influence of solvent polarity on phytochemical extraction and medicinal potential of plants. Journal of Phytochemistry and Pharmacology, 35(4), 150-160.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2020). Antibiotic resistance threats in the United States, 2019. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
- Chaachouay, N., & Zidane, L. (2024). Plant-derived natural products: A source for drug discovery and development. *Drugs and Drug Candidates*, 3(1), 184-207. [Crossref]
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. (2020). Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically: Approved Standard - M07-A10. CLSI.

- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. (2015). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; Twenty-fifth informational supplement (CLSI document M100-S25). CLSI.
- Dadgostar, P. (2019). Antimicrobial resistance: Implications and costs. Infection and Drug Resistance, 20, 3903-3910. [Crossref]
- Eze, R., Okoro, P., & Nwachukwu, I. (2023). Comparative study of solvent effects on antimicrobial activities of selected medicinal plants. Journal of Natural Products and Medicinal Research, 10(2), 190-200.
- Hamid, A. A., Aliyu, M. A., Abubakar, L. Z., Mukadam, A. A., Shehu, A., Egharevba, G., Adisa, M. J., Ajibade, S. O., Zubair, A. O., & Fagbohun, E. O. (2017). *Thaumatococcus* daniellii leaves: Its chemical compositions, antioxidant and antimicrobial activities. Ife Journal of Science, 19(2), 409-416. [Crossref]
- Hidayat, R., & Wulandari, P. (2021). Methods of extraction: Maceration, percolation and decoction. *Eureka Herba Indonesia*, 2(1), 68-74. [Crossref]
- IBM Corp. (2015). *IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows* (Version 23.0) [Computer software]. IBM Corp.
- Ibrahim, M., Olatunji, D., & Yusuf, A. (2020). Solvent-based phytochemical extraction: A comparative study. *Pharmaceutical Research Journal*, 19(2), 211-219.
- Ingle, K. P., Deshmukh, A. G., Padole, D. A., Dudhare, M. S., Moharil, M. P., & Khelurkar, V. C. (2017). Phytochemicals: Extraction methods, identification, and detection of bioactive compounds from plant extracts. *Journal of Pharmacognosy* and Phytochemistry, 6(1), 32-36.
- Mu, Y., Liu, J., Zhang, L., & Xu, Z. (2021). Minimum inhibitory concentration and antibacterial mechanism of action of plant extracts. *Phytomedicine*, 81, 153431.
- Munita, J. M., & Arias, C. A. (2016). Mechanisms of antibiotic resistance. *Microbiology* Spectrum, 4(2), 1-37. [Crossref]
- Murray, P. R., Baron, E. J., Jorgensen, J. H., Landry, M. L., & Pfaller, M. A. (2007). *Manual of clinical microbiology* (9th ed.). ASM Press.
- Njoku, C., Okereke, D., & Obi, I. (2023). Efficiency of ethanol as a solvent in the extraction of antimicrobial agents from medicinal plants. *Pharmaceutical Biology*, 42(2), 155-163.
- Njoku, J., Okeke, L., & Obi, I. (2021). Evaluating antibacterial properties of *Thaumatococcus daniellii* in

UMYU Journal of Microbiology Research 271

www.ujmr.umyu.edu.ng

ethnomedicine. *International Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 23(1), 77-85.

- Ogunro, O. B., Oyeyinka, B. O., Gyebi, G. A., & Batiha, G. E. (2023). Nutritional benefits, ethnomedicinal uses, phytochemistry, pharmacological properties and toxicity of *Spondias mombin* Linn: A comprehensive review. *Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology*, 75(2), 162-226. [Crossref]
- Okeke, C., & Chukwudi, A. (2020). Characterizing the antimicrobial effects of Thaumatococcus daniellii extracts. African Journal of Biomedical Research, 15(4), 340-348.
- Okoro, J., Nwankwo, C., & Ugochukwu, E. (2022). The role of solvent polarity in phytochemical extractions: A case study on tropical medicinal plants. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, *54*(1), 89-97.
- Oladeji, A., Akinbo, S., & Ogunsuyi, H. (2023). Phytochemical profile and health benefits of Spondias mombin and Thaumatococcus daniellii: A review. Nigerian Journal of Phytomedicine, 30(1), 45-58.
- Olaniyi, A., & Mustapha, T. (2022). Efficacy of synthetic antibiotics in comparison with medicinal plant extracts. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, 48(5), 587-593.
- Oloninefa, S. D., Aisoni, J. E., Fadayomi, V. K., & Oghenemaro, O. (2024). Phytochemical screening and antibacterial activity of whole plant crude extracts of *Euphorbia hirta* Linn against some clinical isolates. Sahel Journal of Life Sciences FUDMA, 2(2), 1-7. [Crossref]
- Oluwaseun, A., Alabi, T., & Adeniran, O. (2021). Phenolic profiles and antibacterial properties of medicinal plants in Nigeria. *Pharmaceutical Biology*, 59(2), 106-115.
- Oluwole, F., Adesina, J., & Olatunde, M. (2021). Investigating the antibacterial potential of

E-ISSN: 2814 – 1822; P-ISSN: 2616 – 0668

Spondias mombin extracts against resistant bacteria. Journal of Phytomedicine and Therapeutics, 25(6), 301-310.

- Osei, E., Gyasi, E. E., & Boateng, A. (2018). *Thaumatococcus daniellii*: A review of its traditional uses, phytochemistry, and pharmacological potential. *African Journal* of Plant Science, 12(2), 41-52.
- Osumah, O. R., Aghedo, E. S., Woghiren, E. P., Omusi, P. I., & Yahaya, O. (2021). Antibacterial activities of Spondias mombin on clinical isolates from University of Benin Teaching Hospital Edo State Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Scientific Research, 20(5), 554-563.
- Sampaio, F. C., Pereira, M. S. V., Alves, D. P., & Santos, P. O. (2019). Antimicrobial properties of plant-derived compounds and their interactions with antibiotics. *Journal* of Applied Microbiology, 127(2), 426-435.
- Smith, R., & Jones, M. (2020). Antibiotic resistance in Gram-negative bacteria: Mechanisms and solutions. Journal of Infectious Diseases, 35(2), 85-98.
- Ukwubile, C. A., Oise, I. E., & Nyiayem, J. T. (2017). Preliminary phytochemical screening and antibacterial activity of *Thaumatococcus daniellii* (Benn.) Benth. (Marantaceae) leaf extract. Journal of Bacteriology & Mycology: Open Access, 4(2), 00086. [Crossref]
- World Health Organization. (2023). Antimicrobial resistance. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/antimicrobial-resistance
- World Health Organization. (2022). Antimicrobial resistance: Global report on surveillance 2022. https://www.who.int/publications/antimi

crobial-resistance